IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 6234 of 1996

Between:

Satya Priya Fish Farm Paidichinthapadu (Registered Partnership Firm) being rep. by its M.PARTNER
Managing Partner k.V.R.S.Subbaraju ., S/o Satyanarayana Raju aged 62 yerar
R/o Kolamuru, Undi Mandal, WG.district

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Eluru ,
West Godavari Dist.
2 The Revenue Divsional Offier, Eluru,
West Godavari District
3 The mandal Revenal officer , Eluru Mandal,
Eluru, WG. district

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents and their subordinates not to interfere with the right of the petitioner to maintain fish tanks in its lands admeasuring an extent of Ac. 122.78 cents bearing S.Nos. as mentioned in the Adangal of Padidichinthapadu Village Eluru mandal , west Godavari District and not to interfere with the right of the petitioner to undertake the necessary repair work of the said fish tank, by declaring that the respondents have no jurisdiction to interfere with the rights of the petitioners to maintain the fish tanks in the above said land and that the action of the respondents in interfering with the repair work of the fish tanks of the petitioners highly arbitrary, discriminatory contrary to law, and illegal.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.RAMACHANDRA RAJU

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following :

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.6234 OF 1996

ORDER:

On 04.02.2005 when the matter was called, there was no representation, and hence the same was posted for dismissal today. Even today when the matter is called, there is no representation.

Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

_____

(JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY)

Dt.07.02.2005.

Mdaa.

Copy to:

1 The District Collector, Eluru ,
West Godavari Dist.
2 The Revenue Divsional Offier, Eluru,
West Godavari District

3 The mandal Revenal officer , Eluru Mandal,
Eluru, WG. district

4. two C.Cs to G.P.for Revenue, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

5. Two C.D.copies.